

UDC 330.46:005.332:330.341.1

CHARACTERISTICS AND POTENTIAL OF ECONOMIC AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING IN CRISIS MANAGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL

Sviatoboh O.S.

PhD student

ORCID: 0000-0002-7246-3579

P.H.E.I. «European University», 16V Akademika Vernadskyi Blvd., Kyiv, Ukraine

Abstract. *The paper explores the capabilities of economic and mathematical modeling as an effective instrument for anti-crisis management of intellectual capital in an environment of continuous macroeconomic turbulence and complex systemic crises. It is demonstrated that intellectual capital, which constitutes the core of competitive advantage in the knowledge-based economy, becomes particularly exposed during periods of instability because of the prevalence of short-term financial priorities and the inability of conventional accounting systems to adequately capture intangible assets. The study emphasizes that the application of economic and mathematical models enables a shift from intuitive and reactive managerial decisions to analytically grounded, scenario-based governance. The article highlights the specific methodological difficulties associated with modeling intellectual capital, including the hidden nature of key variables, delayed impacts of managerial actions, nonlinear interdependencies, and the stochastic behavior of human resources under stress. A structured overview of contemporary modeling approaches—such as fuzzy logic, system dynamics, real options, neural networks and machine learning, and game-theoretic models—is provided, with a focus on their usefulness for risk identification, forecasting long-term consequences, and supporting informed decision-making in crisis situations. The paper concludes that further progress in this field is linked to the development of hybrid modeling frameworks, organizational digital twins, agent-based modeling, and the incorporation of behavioral economics, which together enhance the resilience and long-term sustainability of intellectual capital management in volatile conditions.*

Keywords: *intellectual capital; crisis management; economic and mathematical modeling; hybrid models; fuzzy logic; system dynamics; real options; machine learning; digital twins.*

Introduction.

In the landscape of the modern knowledge economy [1], a radical transformation of the mechanisms of corporate value formation is taking place [2]. Material assets — real estate, equipment, warehouses — are losing their dominant role, giving way to the priority of intellectual capital. This asset has ceased to be an abstraction and is a complex ecosystem that includes personnel competencies, business process efficiency, brand reputation and innovative potential, which today determine the competitiveness of the enterprise [3]. However, it is in the current period, characterized by permanent macroeconomic instability [4, 5] and cascading multimodal crises [6-8], that intangible assets are at maximum risk. A critical dilemma is being formed, which can be called

the "paradox of survival". The essence of the collision lies in the conflict of interests: the financial logic of the crisis requires strict optimization of liquidity, which in practice leads to the mechanical sequestration of budgets for human resources, marketing and R&D. In an attempt to maintain financial stability, management often sacrifices the intellectual core of the company.

The strategic paradox is that it is the intellectual potential that is the only real tool for adaptation and the driver of the post-crisis renaissance of business. Therefore, if during periods of instability or crisis, investments in intellectual capital are reduced for the sake of short-term stabilization, such an organization actually dismantles the mechanisms of its development [9, 10]. The situation is complicated by the limitations of the classical tools: traditional accounting and financial auditing suffer from "methodological blindness" in relation to intangible assets. Reporting records immediate savings on the payroll, but is unable to reflect the erosion of key competencies or the degradation of the corporate spirit, the consequences of which are manifested with a significant time lag.

In these circumstances, the use of economic and mathematical modeling moves from the category of theoretical research to the plane of existential necessity [11]. Modern relevant economic and mathematical apparatus [12], [13] allows:

- to quantify qualitative indicators: to translate implicit parameters (loyalty, creativity, social climate) into measurable quantities and functional dependencies;
- to predict delayed effects: to identify hidden threats and demonstrate how current staff reductions will result in a drop in productivity in the medium term;
- to manage stochasticity: to take into account the nonlinear nature of the system's response to external stress factors, characteristic of a turbulent environment.

Thus, modeling forms a safe digital testing ground for testing anti-crisis strategies without a threat to real business. This ensures a qualitative evolution of management: a transition from reactive, intuitive decisions to scenario planning based on objective analytics and a deep understanding of hidden relationships.

Main Part. The main difficulty of modeling in this area is dictated by the intangible nature of the object. Intellectual capital (IC) is not physical assets such as

machines or buildings, but a complex conglomerate of knowledge, experience, customer loyalty and organizational culture.

Therefore, when building anti-crisis economic and mathematical models, this fact creates a number of specific challenges. First of all, researchers are faced with the latency of variables: most critically important indicators, whether it is the competence of personnel or the reputation of a brand, cannot be measured directly. They have to rely on proxy variables, which inevitably introduces an error. The situation is complicated by the high inertia of processes: investments in training may bear fruit only after a year, while in a crisis, solutions are needed "here and now". Mathematical models must take into account this time lag, as well as the nonlinearity of relationships - for example, when a linear reduction in staff by 10% leads to a collapse in innovative potential by 50% due to key knowledge carriers. In addition, under stressful conditions, the behavior of human capital becomes stochastic (difficult to predict), and the data itself often has a qualitative, linguistic character ("high loyalty", "medium risk"), which requires a special mathematical approach.

The use of this toolkit allows you to transfer anti-crisis management from the plane of intuitive assumptions to the sphere of evidence-based management. Models solve the problem of early diagnosis, identifying weak signals of loss of potential to financial losses; help to mathematically accurately calculate the "core" of personnel, the necessary recovery; and also provide scenario planning, forming pessimistic and optimistic development tracks.

The prospects for the development of this area lie in the plane of deep digitalization. The future lies in the creation of Digital Twins of organizations, which allow you to conduct safe stress tests in a virtual environment. Static reports are giving way to dynamic modeling in real time, where risks are recalculated every moment based on data from corporate systems. Agent-oriented modeling is gaining increasing importance, considering employees as autonomous agents with their own fears and goals, which allows you to see the spread of panic or enthusiasm in the team. Finally, modern models are beginning to integrate the principles of behavioral economics, including formulas for the coefficients of irrational human behavior under stress.

To overcome these barriers and effectively manage, a wide range of mathematical tools is used:

Modeling method	Where is it used in the management of IC	Why is it effective in a crisis?
Fuzzy Logic	Assessment of quality indicators (goodwill, corporate culture).	Allows working with inaccurate data ("high risk", "low motivation") without losing meaning.
Simulation modeling (System Dynamics)	Modeling of knowledge and personnel flows.	Allows you to play "What if?" scenarios. For example, what will happen to productivity if you cut the budget for training.
The method of real options (Real Options)	Evaluation of investments in R&D and human capital.	Considers investments in personnel not as costs, but as an option (a right, but not an obligation) for future development, which is critical in the event of a shortage of funds.
Neural networks and ML	Forecasting the outflow of key employees (Churn rate).	AI finds hidden patterns in employee behavior, predicting burnout or dismissal before it happens.
Game theory	Modeling of interaction "Employee - Company" in shortened conditions.	It helps to find the Nash equilibrium: the optimal strategy to keep the best at minimum costs.

Conclusions.

Analysis of the prospects of economic and mathematical modeling in anti-crisis management allows us to conclude that the implementation of these methods actually changes the risk management paradigm, transforming intellectual capital management in the field of intuitive "humanitarian art" into the field of precise engineering solutions. The presence of verifiable forecasts allows managers to use models as a "fuse" that blocks decisions that provide short-term financial respite, but are fatal to the long-term viability of the company, for example, the dismissal of carriers of unique knowledge.

The use of such approaches, in particular real options methods, proves that the costs of maintaining the core workforce in a crisis should be considered not as maintenance costs, but as the purchase of an option for future growth, which allows companies with preserved intellectual potential to capture market shares faster than competitors during the recovery phase. However, it is important to realize that no model, including systems based on artificial intelligence, is a crystal ball; their role is

reduced to a decision support function, where mathematics outlines the corridor of permissible actions and shows the sensitivity of the system to shocks, and the final interpretation and ethical choice are left to the human expert.

Looking ahead, the technological imperative will be the transition from static reports to dynamic modeling: competitive leadership will pass to those organizations that can integrate predictive analytics of intellectual capital health into their ERP systems, turning intangible asset management into a real-time process.

Discussion. The key vector of development of scientific thought in the field of anti-crisis management of intellectual capital is the rejection of the use of mono-models in favor of hybrid economic and mathematical modeling. Isolated methods (whether pure statistics or purely expert assessments) demonstrate insufficient predictive accuracy and validity [14] of SAME in conditions of high entropy and unpredictability of the dynamic external environment [15-17], characteristic of modern crises.

Prospects for further research lie in the plane of synthesis of hard computing and soft computing, which allows to compensate for the shortcomings of individual approaches due to their complementarity. Promising directions of integration of methods are:

- 1). Neural-fuzzy systems (ANFIS): Combining the ability of neural networks to self-learn big data with the interpretability of fuzzy logic. This will allow to create models that predict the risks of loss of intellectual capital, but also explain the logic of decision-making (eliminating the "black box" effect).

- 2). Synthesis of system dynamics and agent modeling: Combining macro-models (knowledge flows in the organization) with micro-simulations (psychological behavior of individual employees). This approach allows us to explore emergent properties: how individual stress is transformed into a global drop in efficiency, creating the basis for the company's "digital twins".

- 3). Stochastics and the real options method: The integration of probability equations with option theory allows us to mathematically substantiate the value of managerial flexibility. Intellectual capital is considered not as a cost, but as a volatile asset, investment in which is an option for future growth.

References:

1. Naumenko, M. (2024). Models of business knowledge in artificial intelligence systems for an effective competitive enterprise. *International scientific journal "Internauka". Series: "Economic Sciences"*. № 6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.25313/2520-2294-2024-6-10010> [In Ukrainian].
2. Ostapenko T., Onopriienko D., Hrashchenko I., Palyvoda O., Krasniuk S., Danilova E. (2022) Research of impact of nanoeconomics on the national economic system development. *Innovative development of national economies: collective monograph*. – Kharkiv: PC TECHNOLOGY CENTER, 2022. – pp. 46-70.
3. Naumenko, M. (2024). Methodology of determining factors of activity efficiency and competitive position of the enterprise on the market in crisis conditions. *Scientific innovations and advanced technologies*, № 7(35) (2024). DOI: [https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-5274-2024-7\(35\)-648-665](https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-5274-2024-7(35)-648-665) [in Ukrainian].
4. Karpenko, Oksana & Kravchenko, Olha & Palyvoda, Olena & Semenova, Svitlana. (2025). Evaluating the effectiveness of innovation implementation at transport enterprises under conditions of uncertainty. *Academy Review*, #2. 75-88. 10.32342/3041-2137-2025-2-63-5.
5. Palyvoda, Olena & Semenchuk, Tetiana & Rachkovskyy, Eduard. (2024). Modelling growth strategies of transport enterprises in the conditions of context uncertainty. *Baltic Journal of Economic Studies*. 10. 255-267. 10.30525/2256-0742/2024-10-3-255-267.
6. Nevmerzhytska, N. Buhas (2022). Opportunities, threats and risks of implementation the innovative business management technologies in the post-pandemic period COVID-19. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*. Volume 19, pp. 1215–1229.
7. Tsalko T. R., Nevmerzhytska S.M. (2023) Risk assessment in innovative activity. *Actual problems in economics, finance and management: materials of the International Scientific and Practical Conference*. East European Center for Scientific Research (Odesa, 25 october 2023). Research Europe, 2023. pp. 92-94 <https://researcheurope.org/product/book-31/> [in Ukrainian].

8. Nevmerzhytska S. M. (2018). Formation of a strategy for the innovative development of enterprises in conditions of uncertainty. *Scientific Bulletin of the Kherson State University. Series: Economic Sciences*. 2018. Vol. 32. pp. 99-103. URL: <https://ej.journal.kspu.edu/index.php/ej/article/view/422/418>.

9. Mykytenko V.V., Hryshchenko I.S. (2008). Adaptive management system of innovative processes at enterprises. *Problems of science*, (4), pp. 32-37.

10. Hrashchenko I.S., Khmurova V. V. (2016). Innovative policy as a tool for organizational change. Economic development: theory, methodology, management. *Materials of the 4th International Scientific and Practical Conference*. Budapest-Prague-Kyiv, 28-30 November 2016. 386, p. 361-369. [In Ukrainian].

11. Krasnyuk, M. T., Herashchenko, I. S. and Kustarovskiy, O. D. (2018). Improvement of the economic and mathematical modeling of the results of implementation of individual elements of the adaptive anti-crisis policy of the transport industry companies of Ukraine. *Naukovyi visnyk Uzhhorodskoho universytetu Seriya "Ekonomika"*, vol. 1 (51), pp. 205–211.

12. Maxim Krasnyuk, Yurii Kulynych, Iryna Hrashchenko, Svitlana Goncharenko, Svitlana Krasniuk (2022). Economic and mathematical modeling of an oil and gas production company as an integrated complex specific system. *Science and technology today*, 2022. 399-413. [https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-6025-2022-13\(13\)-399-414](https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-6025-2022-13(13)-399-414)

13. Krasnyuk M.T., Tsalko T.R., Nevmerzhytska S.M., Kulynych Yu.M. (2024). Economic and mathematical indicators and models in the project management of an oil and gas company. *Science and technology today*, March 2024. pp. 346-366. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-6025-2024-3\(31\)-346-366](https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-6025-2024-3(31)-346-366).

14. Krasnyuk, M. (2014). Hibrydyzatsiia intelektualnykh metodiv analizu biznesovykh danykh (rezhym vyivlennia anomalii) yak skkladovyi instrument korporatyvnoho audytu [Hybridization of intelligent methods of business data analysis (anomaly detection mode) as a standard tool of corporate audit]. *Stan i perspektyvy rozvytku oblikovo-informatsiinoi systemy v Ukraini - Stan i perspektyvy rozvytku oblikovo-informatsiinoi systemy v Ukraini : materialy III Mizhnar. nauk.-prakt. konf.*

[m. Ternopil, 10-11 zhovt. 2014 r.] - *The state and prospects of the development of the accounting and information system in Ukraine: materials of the III International science and practice conf. [m. Ternopil, October 10-11. 2014].* TNEU, 2014. pp. 211-212 (in Ukrainian).

15. Derbentsev, V. D., B. O. Tishkov, O. D. Sharapov (2013). Systematic methodology for studying the dynamics of the current information economy in the minds of increasing instability. *Modeling and information systems in economics.* – 2013. – Vol. 89, (pp. 47-62) [In Ukrainian].

16. Derbentsev, V. D., Serdiuk, O. A., Soloviov, V. M., & Sharapov, O. D. (2010). *Synergistic and econophysical methods of studying dynamic and structural characteristics of economic systems.* Cherkasy: Brama-Ukraine. - 2010 [in Ukrainian].

17. Derbentsev, V. D., V. M. Soloviov, and O. V. Serdiuk (2005) Precursors of critical phenomena in complex economic systems. *Modeling of nonlinear dynamics of economic systems.* - Donetsk: DonNU, 1 (2005), (pp. 5-13) [in Ukrainian].

Article sent: 20.01.2026

© Sviatoboh O.S.